

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LISA A. HAILE GRAY CARY WARE AND FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE, SUITE 1600 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2189 **COPY MAILED**

OCT 0 5 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Brigstock, et al.

Application No. 09/113,924

Filed: July 9, 1998

Attorney Docket No. 08766/003002

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed July 25, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the non-final Office action mailed March 15, 2001. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on June 16, 2001. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 25, 2001.

The above-identified application has been abandoned for an extended period of time. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting the statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. At 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office).

Petitioner submitted a Request For Continued Examination (RCE) on July 25, 2005, with the instant petition. However, the prosecution in the application must be closed before a RCE may be submitted. As the Office action of March 15, 2001 was not final, the submission of a RCE is not an appropriate response at this time since prosecution in the application is not closed. As such, the RCE, filed July 25, 2005 is considered improper. However, a reply to the non-final Office action was submitted with the improper RCE. The amendment will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111.

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136, an extension of time must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum period obtainable for reply to avoid abandonment. Accordingly, since the \$510.00 extension of time submitted with the petition on July 25, 2005 was subsequent to the maximum period obtainable for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account no. 07-1896.

The file is being forwarded to Technology Center 1600 for review of the amendment, submitted July 25, 2005, in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3228.

Edward J. Tannouse

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions/Patent

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR PATENT FEE REFUND			
1 Date of Request: 10/4/05 2 Serial/Patent # 09/113,924			
3 Please refund the following fee(s):	4 PAPER NUMBER	5 DATE FILED	6 AMOUNT
Filing			\$.
Amendment			\$
Extension of Time		7/25/05	\$510-
Notice of Appeal/Appeal	-		\$
Petition			\$
Issue			\$
Cert of Correction/Terminal Disc.			\$
Maintenance			\$
Assignment			\$
Other RCE	21	7/25/05	\$395-
	21 7/25/05 \$395— 7 TOTAL AMOUNT		
	8 TO BE REFUNDED BY:		
10 REASON:	Treasury Check		
Overpayment	Credit Deposit A/C #:		
Duplicate Payment	, 07-1896		
No Fee Due (Explanation):			
Fict not mecessary			
RCE improper			
11 REFUND REQUESTED BY:			
TYPED/PRINTED NAME: 5- January TITLE: Yet HIP,			
SIGNATURE: PHONE: Z3228			
office: 4700			
THIS SPACE RESERVED FOR FENANCE USE ONLY: APPROVED: DATE:			

Instructions for completion of this form appear on the back. After completion, attach white and yellow copies to the official file and mail or hand-carry to:

Office of Finance Refund Branch Crystal Park One, Room 802B